## Does The Early Development Of Metastases Carry The Same

## Significance As Metastases At Diagnosis?

Robert Grimer, Michael Parry, Lee Jeys

The Birmingham Orthopaedic Oncology Service, Royal Orthopaedic Hospital, Bristol

Road South, Birmingham, B31 2AP

## <u>Abstract</u>

**Backgrpund:** It is common practice in cancer registries, to consider patients who develop metastases within four months of diagnosis with those who have present with metastases.

**Purpose:** To establish whether survivorship is affected by early mestastases for patients presenting with a sarcoma.

**Methods:** Retrospective analysis of a prospectively compiled database identifying 6330 patients with a newly diagnosed osseous (3318) or soft tissue sarcoma (3012) including information on prognostic demographic and tumour related factors.

**Results:** 867 patients presented with metastases (13.7%), 134 developed metastases within 4 months of diagnosis (2.1%) and 11 developed metastases within 6 months (1.7%). Early metastases were associated with high tumour grade and large size and occurred as frequently in bone and soft tissue sarcoma. A strong for early metastases was secondary sarcoma, radiation induced sarcoma and dedifferentiated chondroasarcoma. 5-year survival was 13% with metastases at diagnosis and 5% for early metastases (p<0.001). Relapse at 6 and 12 months was associated with a poor prognosis regardless of tumour type, when compared to those with metastases at diagnosis. Relapse was an independent risk factor for prognosis at 4-months (HR 8.9) which compared to a HR of 5.3 for those with metastases at diagnosis. A common risk for relapse was a poor response to chemotherapy whilst those surviving beyond 5-years had metastases that could be surgically removed.

**Conclusion:** The development of metastases within 4-months of diagnosis is a poor prognostic indicator when compared to patients presenting with metatstases. This may represent a failure to respond to first line therapy.